Moments of characteristic polynomials for compact symmetric spaces and Jack polynomials

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.
2007 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 4013567
(http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/40/45/006)
View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:
IP Address: 171.66.16.146
The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 06:24

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Moments of characteristic polynomials for compact symmetric spaces and Jack polynomials 

Sho Matsumoto ${ }^{1}$<br>Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Hakozaki Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812-8581, Japan<br>E-mail: shom@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Received 1 August 2007
Published 23 October 2007
Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/40/13567


#### Abstract

We express the averages of products of characteristic polynomials for random matrix ensembles associated with compact symmetric spaces in terms of Jack polynomials or Heckman and Opdam's Jacobi polynomials depending on the root system of the space. We also give explicit expressions for the asymptotic behavior of these averages in the limit as the matrix size goes to infinity.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in the averages of the characteristic polynomials of random matrices. This work is motivated by the connection with Riemann zeta functions and $L$-functions identified by Keating and Snaith [KS1, KS2]. The averages of the characteristic polynomials in the cases of compact classical groups and Hermitian matrix ensembles have already calculated (see [Me] and references in [BG]). In these studies, Bump and Gamburd [BG] obtain simple proofs for the cases corresponding to compact classical groups by using symmetric polynomial theory. Our aim in this paper is to use their technique to calculate averages of the characteristic polynomials for random matrix ensembles associated with compact symmetric spaces.

We deal with the compact symmetric spaces $G / K$ classified by Cartan, where $G$ is a compact subgroup in $G L(N, \mathbb{C})$ for some positive integer $N$ and $K$ is a closed subgroup of $G$. Assume $G / K$ is realized as a subspace $S$ in $G$, i.e., $S \simeq G / K$, and the probability measure $\mathrm{d} M$ on $S$ is then induced from $G / K$. We call the probability space ( $S, \mathrm{~d} M$ ) the random matrix ensemble associated with $G / K$.

For example, $U(n) / O(n)$ is the symmetric space with a restricted root system of type A and is realized by $S=\left\{M \in U(n) \mid M=M^{\mathrm{T}}\right\}$. Here $M^{\mathrm{T}}$ stands for the transposed matrix
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of $M$ while $U(n)$ and $O(n)$ denote the unitary and orthogonal group of matrices or order $n$, respectively. The induced measure $\mathrm{d} M$ on $S$ satisfies the invariance $\mathrm{d}\left(H M H^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\mathrm{d} M$ for any $H \in U(n)$. This random matrix ensemble $(S, \mathrm{~d} M)$ is well known as the circular orthogonal ensemble (COE) (see, e.g., [Dy, Me]).

We also consider the classical compact Lie groups $U(n), S O(n)$ and $S p(2 n)$. Regarding these groups as symmetric spaces, the random matrix space $S$ is just the group itself with its Haar measure.

The compact symmetric spaces studied by Cartan are divided into A and BC type main branches according to their root systems. There are three symmetric spaces of type A, with their corresponding matrix ensembles called circular orthogonal, unitary and symplectic ensembles. For these ensembles, the probability density functions (pdf) for the eigenvalues are proportional to

$$
\Delta^{\mathrm{Jack}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 2 / \beta)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{\beta}
$$

with $\beta=1,2$, 4 , where $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$, with $\left|z_{i}\right|=1$, denotes the sequence of eigenvalues of the random matrix. We will express the average of the product of characteristic polynomials $\operatorname{det}(I+x M)$ for a random matrix $M$ as a Jack polynomial ([Mac], chapter VI-10) of a rectangular-shaped Young diagram. Jack polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the weight function $\Delta^{\mathrm{Jack}}$. Our theorems are obtained in a simple algebraic way and contain results given in [KS1].

For compact symmetric spaces of type BC root systems, the corresponding pdf is given by
$\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left|1-z_{i} z_{j}^{-1}\right|^{2 k_{3}}\left|1-z_{i} z_{j}\right|^{2 k_{3}} \cdot \prod_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}\left|1-z_{j}\right|^{2 k_{1}}\left|1-z_{j}^{2}\right|^{2 k_{2}}$.
Here the $k_{i}$ 's denote multiplicities of roots in the root systems of the symmetric spaces. For example, the pdf induced from the symmetric space $S O(4 n+2) /(S O(4 n+2) \cap S p(4 n+2))$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; 2, \frac{1}{2}, 2\right)$. For this class of compact symmetric spaces, Opdam and Heckman's Jacobi polynomials ([Di, HS]), which are orthogonal with respect to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}$, will play the same role as Jack polynomials for type A cases. Namely, we will express the average of the product of characteristic polynomials $\operatorname{det}(I+x M)$ as the Jacobi polynomial of a rectangular-shaped diagram.

This paper is organized as follows. Our main results, which are expressions for the averages of products of characteristic polynomials, will be given in section 6. As described above, the symmetric spaces corresponding to the two root systems, types A and BC, will be discussed separately. For type A spaces, we use Jack polynomial theory. These discussions can be generalized to Macdonald polynomials. Thus, after preparations in section 2, we give some generalized identities involving Macdonald polynomials and a generalization of the weight function $\Delta^{\mathrm{Jack}}$ in sections 3 and 4. In particular, we obtain $q$-analogues of Keating and Snaith's formulae [KS1] for the moments of characteristic polynomials and a generalization of the strong Szegö limit theorem for Toeplitz determinants. These identities are reduced to characteristic polynomial expressions for symmetric spaces of the A-type root system in sections 6.1-6.3. On the other hand, for type BC spaces, we employ Opdam and Heckman's Jacobi polynomials. We review the definition and several properties of these polynomials in section 5, while in sections 6.4-6.12 we apply them to obtain expressions for the products of characteristic polynomials of random matrix ensembles associated with symmetric spaces of type BC.

## 2. Basic properties of Macdonald symmetric functions

We recall the definition of Macdonald symmetric functions (see [Mac, chapter VI] for details). Let $\lambda$ be a partition, i.e., $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is a weakly decreasing ordered sequence of nonnegative integers with finitely many nonzero entries. Denote by $\ell(\lambda)$ the number of nonzero $\lambda_{j}$ and by $|\lambda|$ the sum of all $\lambda_{j}$. These values $\ell(\lambda)$ and $|\lambda|$ are called the length and weight of $\lambda$ respectively. We identify $\lambda$ with the associated Young diagram $\left\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \lambda_{i}\right\}$. The conjugate partition $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots\right)$ is determined by the transpose of the Young diagram $\lambda$. It is sometimes convenient to write this partition in the form $\lambda=\left(1^{m_{1}} 2^{m_{2}} \cdots\right)$, where $m_{i}=m_{i}(\lambda)$ is the multiplicity of $i$ in $\lambda$ and is given by $m_{i}=\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}$. For two partitions $\lambda$ and $\mu$, we write $\lambda \subset \mu$ if $\lambda_{i} \leqslant \mu_{i}$ for all $i$. In particular, the notation $\lambda \subset\left(m^{n}\right)$ means that $\lambda$ satisfies $\lambda_{1} \leqslant m$ and $\lambda_{1}^{\prime} \leqslant n$. The dominance ordering associated with the root system of type A is defined as follows: for two partitions $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \leqslant \mathrm{A} \lambda \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad|\lambda|=|\mu| \quad \text { and } \\
& \mu_{1}+\cdots+\mu_{i} \leqslant \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{i}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { for all } i \geqslant 1 .
$$

Let $q$ and $t$ be real numbers such that both $|q|<1$ and $|t|<1$. Put $F=\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ and $\mathbb{T}^{n}=\left\{\boldsymbol{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)| | z_{i} \mid=1(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)\right\}$. Denote by $F\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{n}}$ the algebra of symmetric polynomials in variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Define an inner product on $F\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{n}}$ by

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}=\frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} f(\boldsymbol{z}) g\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{-1}\right) \Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; q, t) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z}
$$

with

$$
\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; q, t)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left|\frac{\left(z_{i} z_{j}^{-1} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(t z_{i} z_{j}^{-1} ; q\right)_{\infty}}\right|^{2}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{z}^{-1}=\left(z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{n}^{-1}\right)$ and $(a ; q)_{\infty}=\prod_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(1-a q^{r}\right)$. Here $\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z}$ is the normalized Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$.

For a partition $\lambda$ of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n} \lambda} x_{1}^{\nu_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\nu_{n}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum runs over the $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$-orbit $\mathfrak{S}_{n} \lambda=\left\{\left(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{\sigma(n)}\right) \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}\right\}$. Here we add the suffix 'A' because $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ is the Weyl group of type A. Then Macdonald polynomials (of type A) $P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}=P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; q, t\right) \in F\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{n}}$ are characterized by the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}=m_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{A}}+\sum_{\mu<{ }_{\lambda} \lambda} u_{\lambda \mu} m_{\mu}^{\mathrm{A}} \quad \text { with } \quad u_{\lambda \mu} \in F, \\
& \left\langle P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}, P_{\mu}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\right\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}=0 \quad \text { if } \quad \lambda \neq \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $\Lambda_{F}$ the $F$-algebra of symmetric functions in infinitely many variables $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$. That is, an element $f=f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \Lambda_{F}$ is determined by the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ of polynomials $f_{n}$ in $F\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{\mathfrak{C}_{n}}$, where these polynomials satisfy $\sup _{n \geqslant 0} \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{n}\right)<\infty$ and $f_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for any $m \geqslant n$ (see [Mac, chapter I-2]). Macdonald polynomials satisfy the stability property

$$
\left.P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n+1} ; q, t\right)\right|_{x_{n+1}=0}=P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; q, t\right)
$$

for any partition $\lambda$ of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$, and therefore for all partitions $\lambda$, Macdonald functions $P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{x} ; q, t)$ can be defined.

For each square $s=(i, j)$ of the diagram $\lambda$, let

$$
a(s)=\lambda_{i}-j, \quad a^{\prime}(s)=j-1, \quad l(s)=\lambda_{j}^{\prime}-i, \quad l^{\prime}(s)=i-1 .
$$

These numbers are called the arm length, arm colength, leg length and leg colength, respectively. Put

$$
c_{\lambda}(q, t)=\prod_{s \in \lambda}\left(1-q^{a(s)} t^{l(s)+1}\right), \quad c_{\lambda}^{\prime}(q, t)=\prod_{s \in \lambda}\left(1-q^{a(s)+1} t^{l(s)}\right) .
$$

Note that $c_{\lambda}(q, t)=c_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t, q)$. Defining the $Q$-function by $Q_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{x} ; q, t)=c_{\lambda}(q, t) c_{\lambda}^{\prime}(q, t)^{-1}$ $P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(x ; q, t)$, we have the dual Cauchy identity ([Mac, chapter VI (5.4)])

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\lambda} P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{x} ; q, t) P_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{y} ; t, q) & =\sum_{\lambda} Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{x} ; q, t) Q_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{y} ; t, q) \\
& =\prod_{i \geqslant 1} \prod_{j \geqslant 1}\left(1+x_{i} y_{j}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} p_{k}(\boldsymbol{x}) p_{k}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right)$. Here $p_{k}$ is the power-sum function $p_{k}(\boldsymbol{x})=x_{1}^{k}+x_{2}^{k}+\cdots$.
We define the generalized factorial $(a)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}$ by

$$
(a)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}=\prod_{s \in \lambda}\left(t^{l^{\prime}(s)}-q^{a^{\prime}(s)} a\right)
$$

Let $u$ be an indeterminate and define the homomorphism $\epsilon_{u, t}$ from $\Lambda_{F}$ to $F$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{u, t}\left(p_{r}\right)=\frac{1-u^{r}}{1-t^{r}} \quad \text { for all } \quad r \geqslant 1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have $\epsilon_{t^{n}, t}(f)=f\left(1, t, t^{2}, \ldots, t^{n-1}\right)$ for any $f \in \Lambda_{F}$. Then we have ([Mac, chapter VI (6.17)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{u, t}\left(P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\right)=\frac{(u)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}}{c_{\lambda}(q, t)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the following orthogonality property is satisfied for any two partitions $\lambda$ and $\mu$ of length $\leqslant n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}, Q_{\mu}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\right\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}=\delta_{\lambda \mu}\langle 1,1\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}} \prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{1-q^{a^{\prime}(s)} t^{n-l^{\prime}(s)}}{1-q^{a^{\prime}(s)+1} t^{n-l^{\prime}(s)-1}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Averages with respect to $\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}(z ; q, t)$

As in the previous section, we assume $q$ and $t$ are real numbers in the interval ( $-1,1$ ). For a Laurent polynomial $f$ in variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$, we define

$$
\langle f\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} f(\boldsymbol{z}) \Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; q, t) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z}}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; q, t) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z}}
$$

In this section, we calculate averages of the products of the polynomial

$$
\Psi^{\mathrm{A}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\eta z_{j}\right), \quad \eta \in \mathbb{C}
$$

with respect to $\langle\cdot\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}$. Denoting the eigenvalues of a unitary matrix $M$ by $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$, the polynomial $\Psi^{\mathrm{A}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta)$ is the characteristic polynomial $\operatorname{det}(I+\eta M)$.

The following theorems will induce averages of the products of characteristic polynomials for random matrix ensembles associated with type A root systems (see sections 4 and 6.1-6.3 below).

Theorem 3.1. Let $K$ and $L$ be positive integers. Let $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{L+K}$ be complex numbers such that $\eta_{j} \neq 0(1 \leqslant j \leqslant L)$. Then we have

$$
\left\langle\prod_{l=1}^{L} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(z^{-1} ; \eta_{l}^{-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{K} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta_{L+k}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}=\left(\eta_{1} \cdots \eta_{L}\right)^{-n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{L}\right)}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{L+K} ; t, q\right)
$$

Proof. By the dual Cauchy identity (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{l=1}^{L} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{-1} ;\right. & \left.\eta_{l}^{-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{K} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta_{L+k}\right)=\prod_{l=1}^{L} \eta_{l}^{-n} \cdot\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{n}\right)^{-L} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{L+K} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\eta_{k} z_{j}\right) \\
& =\prod_{l=1}^{L} \eta_{l}^{-n} \cdot\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{n}\right)^{-L} \sum_{\lambda} Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{L+K} ; t, q\right) Q_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; q, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since $P_{\left(L^{n}\right)}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; q, t)=\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{n}\right)^{L}$ [Mac, chapter VI (4.17)], we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\prod_{l=1}^{L} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{-1} ; \eta_{l}^{-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{K} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta_{L+k}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)} \\
&=\prod_{l=1}^{L} \eta_{l}^{-n} \sum_{\lambda} Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{L+K} ; t, q\right) \frac{\left\langle Q_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mac}}, P_{\left(L^{n}\right)}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\right\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}}{\langle 1,1\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}} \\
&=\prod_{l=1}^{L} \eta_{l}^{-n} \cdot Q_{\left(n^{L}\right)}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{L+K} ; t, q\right) \prod_{s \in\left(L^{n}\right)} \frac{1-q^{a^{\prime}(s)} t^{n-l^{\prime}(s)}}{1-q^{a^{\prime}(s)+1} t^{n-l^{\prime}(s)-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the orthogonality property (2.5). It is easy to check that

$$
\prod_{s \in\left(L^{n}\right)} \frac{1-q^{a^{\prime}(s)} t^{n-l^{\prime}(s)}}{1-q^{a^{\prime}(s)+1} t^{n-l^{\prime}(s)-1}}=\frac{c_{\left(L^{n}\right)}(q, t)}{c_{\left(L^{n}\right)}^{\prime}(q, t)}=\frac{c_{\left(n^{L}\right)}^{\prime}(t, q)}{c_{\left(n^{L}\right)}(t, q)}
$$

and so we obtain the claim.
It may be noted that the present proof of theorem 3.1 is similar to the corresponding one in [BG].

Corollary 3.2. For each positive integer $k$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$
\left.\left.\left\langle\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\right| \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; q^{i+1 / 2} \xi\right)\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1-q^{k+i+1} t^{j}}{1-q^{i+1} t^{j}}
$$

Proof. Set $L=K=k$ and ${\overline{\eta_{i}}}^{-1}=\eta_{i+k}=q^{i-1 / 2} \xi(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ in theorem 3.1. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\left\langle\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\right| \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; q^{i+1 / 2} \xi\right)\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)} \\
& \quad=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} q^{(i+1 / 2) n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{k}\right)}\left(q^{-k+1 / 2}, q^{-k+3 / 2}, \ldots, q^{-1 / 2}, q^{1 / 2}, \ldots, q^{k-1 / 2} ; t, q\right) \\
& \quad=q^{n k^{2} / 2} \cdot q^{(-k+1 / 2) k n} P_{\left(n^{k}\right)}\left(1, q, \ldots, q^{2 k-1} ; t, q\right) \\
& \quad=q^{-n k(k-1) / 2} \epsilon_{q^{2 k}, q}\left(P_{\left(n^{k}\right)}(\cdot ; t, q)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From expression (2.4), the right-hand side of the above expression equals
$q^{-n k(k-1) / 2} \frac{\left(q^{2 k}\right)_{\left(n^{k}\right)}^{(t, q)}}{c_{\left(n^{k}\right)}(t, q)}=q^{-n k(k-1) / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q^{i-1}-t^{j-1} q^{2 k}}{1-t^{n-j} q^{k-i+1}}=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1-t^{j} q^{2 k-i+1}}{1-t^{j} q^{k-i+1}}$,
and the result follows.
Kaneko [K2] defines the multivariable $q$-hypergeometric function associated with Macdonald polynomials by

$$
{ }_{2} \Phi_{1}^{(q, t)}\left(a, b ; c ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\lambda} \frac{(a)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}(b)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}}{(c)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}} \frac{P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; q, t\right)}{c_{\lambda}^{\prime}(q, t)},
$$

where $\lambda$ runs over all partitions of length $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$. The $q$-shifted moment $\left.\left.\left\langle\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\right| \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; q^{i+1 / 2} \xi\right)\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}$ given in corollary 3.2 can also be expressed as a special value of the generalized $q$-hypergeometric function ${ }_{2} \Phi_{1}{ }^{(q, t)}$ as follows:

Proposition 3.3. For any complex number with $|\eta|<1$ and real number $u$,

$$
\left.\left.\left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{n}\right| \frac{\left(\eta z_{j} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\eta z_{j} u ; q\right)_{\infty}}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}={ }_{2} \Phi_{1}{ }^{(q, t)}\left(u^{-1}, u^{-1} ; q t^{n-1} ;(u|\eta|)^{2},(u|\eta|)^{2} t, \ldots,(u|\eta|)^{2} t^{n-1}\right) .
$$

In particular, letting $u=q^{k}$ and $\eta=q^{1 / 2} \xi$ with $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$
\left.\left.\left\langle\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\right| \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(z ; q^{i+1 / 2} \xi\right)\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}={ }_{2} \Phi_{1}{ }^{(q, t)}\left(q^{-k}, q^{-k} ; q t^{n-1} ; q^{2 k+1}, q^{2 k+1} t, \ldots, q^{2 k+1} t^{n-1}\right)
$$

Proof. A simple calculation gives

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\eta z_{j} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\eta z_{j} u ; q\right)_{\infty}}=\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \frac{1-u^{k}}{1-q^{k}} p_{k}\left(-\eta z_{1}, \ldots,-\eta z_{n}\right)\right)
$$

From expressions (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\eta z_{j} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\eta z_{j} u ; q\right)_{\infty}} & =\sum_{\lambda}(-\eta)^{|\lambda|} \epsilon_{u, q}\left(Q_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\cdot ; t, q)\right) Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; q, t) \\
& =\sum_{\lambda}(-\eta)^{|\lambda|} \epsilon_{u, q}\left(P_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\cdot ; t, q)\right) P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; q, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\left(\eta z_{j} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\eta z_{j} u ; q\right)_{\infty}}\right|^{2}=\sum_{\lambda, \mu}(-\eta)^{|\lambda|}(-\bar{\eta})^{|\mu|} \epsilon_{u, q}\left(P_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\cdot ; t, q)\right) \epsilon_{u, q} \\
\times\left(Q_{\mu^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\cdot ; t, q)\right) P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(z ; q, t) Q_{\mu}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{-1} ; q, t\right)
\end{array}
$$

The average is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left.\left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{n}\right| \frac{\left(\eta z_{j} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\eta z_{j} u ; q\right)_{\infty}}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)} & =\sum_{\lambda}|\eta|^{2|\lambda|} \epsilon_{u, q}\left(P_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\cdot ; t, q)\right) \epsilon_{u, q}\left(Q_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mac}}(\cdot ; t, q)\right) \frac{\left\langle P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}, Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\right\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}}{\langle 1,1\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}} \\
& =\sum_{\lambda}|\eta|^{2|\lambda|} \frac{\left\{(u)_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{(t, q)}\right\}^{2}}{c_{\lambda^{\prime}}(t, q) c_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t, q)} \prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{1-q^{a^{\prime}(s)} t^{n-l^{\prime}(s)}}{1-q^{a^{\prime}(s)+1} t^{n-l^{\prime}(s)-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by expression (2.4) and the orthogonality property (2.5). It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (u)_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{(t, q)}=(-u)^{|\lambda|}\left(u^{-1}\right)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}, \quad c_{\lambda^{\prime}}(t, q) c_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t, q)=c_{\lambda}(q, t) c_{\lambda}^{\prime}(q, t), \\
& \prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{1-q^{a^{\prime}(s)} t^{n-l^{\prime}(s)}}{1-q^{a^{\prime}(s)+1} t^{n-l^{\prime}(s)-1}}=\prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{t^{t^{\prime}(s)}-q^{a^{\prime}(s)} t^{n}}{t^{\prime \prime(s)}-q^{a^{\prime}(s)+1} t^{n-1}}=\frac{\left(t^{n}\right)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}}{\left(q t^{n-1}\right)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we obtain
$\left.\left.\left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{n}\right| \frac{\left(\eta z_{j} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(\eta z_{j} u ; q\right)_{\infty}}\right|^{2}\right|_{n} ^{(q, t)}=\sum_{\lambda}(u|\eta|)^{2|\lambda|} \frac{\left\{\left(u^{-1}\right)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}\right\}^{2}}{\left(q t^{n-1}\right)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}} \frac{P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(1, t, \ldots, t^{n-1} ; q, t\right)}{c_{\lambda}^{\prime}(q, t)}$,
which equals ${ }_{2} \Phi_{1}{ }^{(q, t)}\left(u^{-1}, u^{-1} ; q t^{n-1} ;(u|\eta|)^{2}, \ldots,(u|\eta|)^{2} t^{n-1}\right)$.
Now we derive the asymptotic behavior of the moment of $|\Psi(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta)|$ when $|\eta|<1$ in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The following theorem is a generalization of the well-known strong Szegö limit theorem as stated in section 4.2 below.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\phi(z)=\exp \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c(k) z^{k}\right)$ be a function on $\mathbb{T}$ and assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}|c(k)|<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}|k||c(k)|^{2}<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}^{-n c(0)}\left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{n} \phi\left(z_{j}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}=\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c(k) c(-k) \frac{1-q^{k}}{1-t^{k}}\right) .
$$

Proof. First we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j=1}^{n} \phi\left(z_{j}\right) & =\mathrm{e}^{n c(0)} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(c(k) p_{k}(\boldsymbol{z})\right) \exp \left(c(-k) \overline{p_{k}(\boldsymbol{z})}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{n c(0)} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{c(k)^{a}}{a!} p_{\left(k^{a}\right)}(\boldsymbol{z})\right)\left(\sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \frac{c(-k)^{b}}{b!} \overline{p_{\left(k^{b}\right)}(\boldsymbol{z})}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{n c(0)} \sum_{\left(1^{\left.a_{1} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots\right)}\right.} \sum_{\left(1^{\left.b_{1} 2^{b_{2}} \ldots\right)}\right.}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(k)^{a_{k}} c(-k)^{b_{k}}}{a_{k}!b_{k}!}\right) p_{\left(1^{\left.a_{1} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots\right)}\right.}(\boldsymbol{z}) \overline{p_{\left(1^{\left.b_{1} 2^{b_{2}} \ldots\right)}\right.}(\boldsymbol{z})},
\end{aligned}
$$

where both $\left(1^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \cdots\right)$ and $\left(1^{b_{1}} 2^{b_{2}} \cdots\right)$ run over all partitions. Therefore we have
$\mathrm{e}^{-n c(0)}\left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{n} \phi\left(z_{j}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}=\sum_{\left(1^{\left.a_{1} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots\right)}\right.} \sum_{\left(1^{\left.b_{1} 2^{b_{2}} \ldots\right)}\right.}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(k)^{a_{k}}}{a_{k}!} \frac{c(-k)^{b_{k}}}{b_{k}!}\right) \frac{\left\langle p_{\left(1^{\left.a_{1} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots\right)}\right.}, p_{\left(1^{\left.b_{1} 2^{b_{2}} \ldots\right)}\right.}\right\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}}{\langle 1,1\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}}$.
We recall the asymptotic behavior

$$
\frac{\left\langle p_{\left(1^{\left.a_{1} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots\right)}\right.}, p_{\left(1^{\left.b_{1} 2^{b_{2}} \ldots\right)}\right.}\right\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}}{\langle 1,1\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}}} \longrightarrow \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{a_{k} b_{k}} k^{a_{k}} a_{k}!\left(\frac{1-q^{k}}{1-t^{k}}\right)^{a_{k}}
$$

in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see [Mac, chapter VI (9.9) and (1.5)]). It follows from this that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}^{-n c(0)} & \left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{n} \phi\left(z_{j}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}=\sum_{\left(1^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \ldots\right)} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k c(k) c(-k))^{a_{k}}}{a_{k}!}\left(\frac{1-q^{k}}{1-t^{k}}\right)^{a_{k}} \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(k c(k) c(-k) \frac{1-q^{k}}{1-t^{k}}\right)^{a}}{a!}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c(k) c(-k) \frac{1-q^{k}}{1-t^{k}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c(k) c(-k) \frac{1-q^{k}}{1-t^{k}}$ converges absolutely by the second assumption in (3.1) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, because $\left|\frac{1-q^{k}}{1-t^{k}}\right| \leqslant \frac{1+|q|^{k}}{1-|t|^{k}} \leqslant 1+|q|$.

Note that the present proof is similar to the corresponding one in [BD]. The result in [BD] is the special case of theorem 3.4 with $q=t$. As an example of this theorem, the asymptotic behavior of the moment of $\left|\Psi^{\mathrm{A}}(z ; \eta)\right|$ is given as follows. A further asymptotic result is given by corollary 4.2 below.

Example 3.1. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\eta$ be a complex number such that $|\eta|<1$. Then we have

$$
\left.\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\langle | \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta)\right|^{2 \gamma}\right\rangle_{n}^{(q, t)}=\left(\frac{\left(q|\eta|^{2} ; t\right)_{\infty}}{\left(|\eta|^{2} ; t\right)_{\infty}}\right)^{\gamma^{2}}
$$

This result is obtained by applying theorem 3.4 to $\phi(z)=|1+\eta z|^{2 \gamma}$. Then the Fourier coefficients of $\log \phi$ are $c(k)=(-1)^{k-1} \eta^{k} \gamma / k$ and $c(-k)=(-1)^{k-1} \bar{\eta}^{k} \gamma / k$ for $k>0$, and $c(0)=0$.

## 4. Circular ensembles and its $q$-analogue

### 4.1. Special case: $t=q^{\beta / 2}$

In this subsection, we examine the results of the last section for the special case $t=q^{\beta / 2}$ with $\beta>0$, i.e., we consider the weight function $\Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; q, q^{\beta / 2}\right)$. Denote by $\langle\cdot\rangle_{n, \beta}^{q}$ the corresponding average. Define the $q$-gamma function (see, e.g., [AAR, (10.3.3)]) by

$$
\Gamma_{q}(x)=(1-q)^{1-x} \frac{(q ; q)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{x} ; q\right)_{\infty}}
$$

Proposition 4.1. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. For a positive integer $k$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left.\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{k}\right| \Psi\left(z ; q^{i-1 / 2} \xi\right)\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{n, \beta}^{q}=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma_{t}\left(\frac{2}{\beta}(i+1)\right) \Gamma_{t}\left(n+\frac{2}{\beta}(k+i+1)\right)}{\Gamma_{t}\left(\frac{2}{\beta}(k+i+1)\right) \Gamma_{t}\left(n+\frac{2}{\beta}(i+1)\right)} \quad\left(\text { with } t=q^{\beta / 2}\right) \\
\quad=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma_{q}\left(\frac{\beta}{2} j+2 k+1\right) \Gamma_{q}\left(\frac{\beta}{2} j+1\right)}{\Gamma_{q}\left(\frac{\beta}{2} j+k+1\right)^{2}} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The claim follows immediately from corollary 3.2 and the functional equation $\Gamma_{q}(1+x)=\frac{1-q^{x}}{1-q} \Gamma_{q}(x)$.

Consider now the asymptotic behavior of this average in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Put $[n]_{q}=$ $\left(1-q^{n}\right) /(1-q)$.

Corollary 4.2. For a positive integer $k$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left([n]_{t}\right)^{-2 k^{2} / \beta}\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{k}\right| \Psi\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; q^{i-1 / 2} \xi\right)\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{n, \beta}^{q}=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma_{t}\left(\frac{2}{\beta}(i+1)\right)}{\Gamma_{t}\left(\frac{2}{\beta}(k+i+1)\right)} \quad \text { with } \quad t=q^{\beta / 2} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma_{t}(n+a)}{\Gamma_{t}(n)\left([n]_{t}\right)^{a}}=1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any constant $a$. Then the claim is clear from expression (4.1).

Example 4.1. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\beta}^{q}(k)$ the right-hand side of equation (4.2). Then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}_{1}^{q}(k)=\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{[2 j+1]_{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}!}{[2 k+2 j+1]_{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}!},  \tag{4.4}\\
& \mathcal{F}_{2}^{q}(k)=\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{[j]_{q}!}{[j+k]_{q}!},  \tag{4.5}\\
& \mathcal{F}_{4}^{q}(2 k)=\frac{\left([2]_{q}\right)^{2 k^{2}}}{[2 k-1]_{q}!!} \prod_{j=1}^{2 k-1} \frac{[j]_{q}!}{[2 j]_{q}!} . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $[n]_{q}!=[n]_{q}[n-1]_{q} \cdots[1]_{q}$ and $[2 k-1]_{q}!!=[2 k-1]_{q}[2 k-3]_{q} \cdots[3]_{q}[1]_{q}$. Equalities (4.4) and (4.5) are trivial because $\Gamma_{q}(n+1)=[n]_{q}$ !. We check relation (4.6). By definition, we have

$$
\mathcal{F}_{4}^{q}(2 k)=\prod_{i=0}^{2 k-1} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}(i+1)\right)}{\Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(k+\frac{1}{2}(i+1)\right)}=\prod_{p=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma_{q^{2}}(p+1)}{\Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(k+p+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma_{q^{2}}(k+p+1)} .
$$

Using the $q$-analogue of the Legendre duplication formula (see, e.g., [AAR, theorem 10.3.5(a)])

$$
\Gamma_{q}(2 x) \Gamma_{q^{2}}(1 / 2)=(1+q)^{2 x-1} \Gamma_{q^{2}}(x) \Gamma_{q^{2}}(x+1 / 2),
$$

we have

$$
\mathcal{F}_{4}^{q}(2 k)=\prod_{p=0}^{k-1} \frac{(1+q)^{2 k} \Gamma_{q}(2 p+1)}{\Gamma_{q}(2 k+2 p+1)}=\left([2]_{q}\right)^{2 k^{2}} \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} \frac{[2 p]_{q}!}{[2 k+2 p]_{q}!} .
$$

Expression (4.6) can then be proven by induction on $n$.

### 4.2. Circular $\beta$-ensembles and Jack polynomials

We take the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$ of the results of the previous subsection. Recall the formula

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left(q^{a} x ; q\right)_{\infty}}{(x ; q)_{\infty}}=(1-x)^{-a}
$$

for $|x|<1$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [AAR, theorem 10.2.4] for example). Then we have

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow 1} \Delta^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; q, q^{\beta / 2}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{\beta}=: \Delta^{\mathrm{Jack}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 2 / \beta),
$$

which is a constant times the pdf for Dyson's circular $\beta$-ensembles (see section 6). Denote by $\langle\cdot\rangle_{n, \beta}$ the corresponding average, i.e., for a function $f$ on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ define

$$
\langle f\rangle_{n, \beta}=\lim _{q \rightarrow 1}\langle f\rangle_{n, \beta}^{q}=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} f(\boldsymbol{z}) \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z}}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z}}
$$

Let $\alpha>0$. The Jack polynomial $P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Jack}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; \alpha\right)$ for each partition $\lambda$ is defined by the limit approached by the corresponding Macdonald polynomial,

$$
P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Jack}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; \alpha\right)=\lim _{q \rightarrow 1} P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Mac}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; q, q^{1 / \alpha}\right)
$$

(see [Mac, chapter VI-10] for detail). Jack polynomials are orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weight function $\Delta^{\mathrm{Jack}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; \alpha)$. In particular, $s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Jack}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; 1\right)$ are called Schur polynomials and are irreducible characters of $U(n)$ associated with $\lambda$.

From the theorems in the last section, we have the following: from theorem 3.1, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\prod_{l=1}^{L} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(z^{-1} ; \eta_{l}^{-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{K} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta_{L+k}\right)\right\rangle_{n, \beta}=\left(\eta_{1} \cdots \eta_{L}\right)^{-n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{L}\right)}^{\mathrm{Jack}}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{L+K} ; \beta / 2\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a positive real number $\gamma$ and complex number $\eta$ with $|\eta|<1$, we have from proposition 3.3 that
$\left.\left.\langle | \Psi(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta)\right|^{2 \gamma}\right\rangle_{n, \mathrm{C} \beta \mathrm{E}}={ }_{2} F_{1}{ }^{(2 / \beta)}\left(-\gamma,-\gamma ; \frac{\beta}{2}(n-1)+1 ;|\eta|^{2}, \ldots,|\eta|^{2}\right)$,
where ${ }_{2} F_{1}{ }^{(\alpha)}\left(a, b ; c ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is the hypergeometric function associated with Jack polynomials [K1] defined by

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}^{(\alpha)}\left(a, b ; c ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\lambda} \frac{[a]_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}[b]_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}}{[c]_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}} \frac{\alpha^{|\lambda|} P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{Jack}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; \alpha\right)}{c_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\alpha)}
$$

with
$[u]_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}=\prod_{s \in \lambda}\left(u-l^{\prime}(s) / \alpha+a^{\prime}(s)\right) \quad$ and $\quad c_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\prod_{s \in \lambda}(\alpha(a(s)+1)+l(s))$.
For a positive integer $k$, and $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, by theorem 4.1 and corollary 4.2 it holds that
$\left.\left.\langle | \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; \xi)\right|^{2 k}\right\rangle_{n, \beta}=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{\beta}(i+1)\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{2}{\beta}(k+i+1)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{\beta}(k+i+1)\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{2}{\beta}(i+1)\right)} \sim \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{\beta}(i+1)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{\beta}(k+i+1)\right)} \cdot n^{2 k^{2} / \beta}$
in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For a function $\phi(z)=\exp \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c(k) z^{k}\right)$ on $\mathbb{T}$ satisfying inequalities (3.1), by theorem 3.4 it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}^{-n c(0)}\left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{n} \phi\left(z_{j}\right)\right\rangle_{n, \beta}=\exp \left(\frac{2}{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c(k) c(-k)\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and a complex number $\eta$ such that $|\eta|<1$, we have

$$
\left.\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\langle | \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta)\right|^{2 \gamma}\right\rangle_{n, \beta}=\left(1-|\eta|^{2}\right)^{-2 \gamma^{2} / \beta}
$$

Several observations may be made concerning the above identities: equation (4.8) is obtained by verifying the limits

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left(q^{a}\right)_{\lambda}^{(q, t)}}{(1-t)^{|\lambda|}}=\alpha^{|\lambda|}[a]_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{c_{\lambda}^{\prime}(q, t)}{(1-t)^{|\lambda|}}=c_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\alpha),
$$

with $q=t^{\alpha}$. The expression for the moment is obtained in [FK] using a different proof, which employs a Selberg type integral evaluation. Equation (4.9) is also obtained in [KS1] essentially by the Selberg integral evaluation. When $\beta=2$, equation (4.10) presents the strong Szegö limit theorem for a Toeplitz determinant. Indeed, the average of the left-hand side of (4.10) is then equal to the Toeplitz determinant $\operatorname{det}\left(d_{i-j}\right)_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}$ of $\phi$, where $d_{i}$ are Fourier coefficients of $\phi$. Equation (4.10) with general $\beta>0$ is seen in [J1, J2], but it may be noted that the present proof, employing symmetric function theory, is straightforward. This expression is applied in [Mat] in order to observe an asymptotic behavior for Toeplitz 'hyperdeterminants'.

## 5. Jacobi polynomials due to Heckman and Opdam

The results obtained in sections 3 and 4 will be applied to random matrix polynomials from symmetric spaces of the type A root system in the following section. In order to evaluate the corresponding polynomials of the BC type root system, we here recall Heckman and Opdam's Jacobi polynomials and give some identities corresponding to (4.7) and (4.9).

The dominance ordering associated with the root system of type BC is defined as follows: for two partitions $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots\right)$,

$$
\mu \leqslant \lambda \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mu_{1}+\cdots+\mu_{i} \leqslant \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{i} \quad \text { for all } i \geqslant 1 .
$$

Let $\mathbb{C}\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{ \pm 1}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ be the ring of all Laurent polynomials in $n$ variables $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. The Weyl group $W=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \imath \mathfrak{S}_{n}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ of type $B C_{n}$ acts naturally on $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{C}\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{ \pm 1}\right]$, respectively. Denote by $\mathbb{C}\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{ \pm 1}\right]^{W}$ the subring of all $W$-invariants in $\mathbb{C}\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{ \pm 1}\right]$. Let $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ be a function on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ defined by
$\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left|1-z_{i} z_{j}^{-1}\right|^{2 k_{3}}\left|1-z_{i} z_{j}\right|^{2 k_{3}} \cdot \prod_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}\left|1-z_{j}\right|^{2 k_{1}}\left|1-z_{j}^{2}\right|^{2 k_{2}}$.
Here we assume $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ are real numbers such that

$$
k_{1}+k_{2}>-1 / 2, \quad k_{2}>-1 / 2, \quad k_{3} \geqslant 0
$$

Define an inner product on $\mathbb{C}\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]^{W}$ by

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{\Delta^{\text {НО }}}=\frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} f(\boldsymbol{z}) g\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{-1}\right) \Delta^{\text {НО }}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z} .
$$

For each partition $\mu$, we let

$$
m_{\mu}^{\mathrm{BC}}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{\nu \in W \mu} x_{1}^{\nu_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\nu_{n}},
$$

where $W \mu$ is the $W$-orbit of $\mu$ (cf (2.1)). These polynomials form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathbb{C}\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]^{W}$. Then, there exists a unique family of polynomials $P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}=P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(\boldsymbol{x} ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{ \pm 1}\right]^{W}$ ( $\lambda$ are partitions such that $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$ ) satisfying two conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}(\boldsymbol{x})=m_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{BC}}(\boldsymbol{x})+\sum_{\mu: \mu<\lambda} u_{\lambda \mu} m_{\mu}^{\mathrm{BC}}(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \text { with } \quad u_{\lambda \mu} \in \mathbb{C}, \\
& \left\langle P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}, P_{\mu}^{\mathrm{HO}}\right\rangle_{\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}}=0 \quad \text { if } \quad \lambda \neq \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Laurent polynomials $P_{\lambda}$ are known as Jacobi polynomials associated with the root system of type $B C_{n}$ due to Heckman and Opdam (see, e.g., [Di, HS, Mi]). They can be seen as BC-analogues of Jack polynomials.

For a function $f$ on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$, we denote by $\langle f\rangle_{n}^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}}$ the mean value of $f$ with respect to the weight function $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ :

$$
\langle f\rangle_{n}^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}}=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} f(\boldsymbol{z}) \Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z}}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z}}
$$

From the three parameters $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$, we define new parameters

$$
\tilde{k}_{1}=k_{1} / k_{3}, \quad \tilde{k}_{2}=\left(k_{2}+1\right) / k_{3}-1, \quad \tilde{k}_{3}=1 / k_{3}
$$

Put

$$
\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; x)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+x z_{j}\right)\left(1+x z_{j}^{-1}\right)
$$

Theorem 5.1. The following relation holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{1}\right) \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{2}\right) \cdots \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{m}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}}=\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{m}\right)^{n} P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; \tilde{k}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{2}, \tilde{k}_{3}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove this, we need the following dual Cauchy identity obtained by Mimachi [Mi].

Proposition 5.2 ([Mi]). Let $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ be sequences of indeterminates. Jacobi polynomials $P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}$ satisfy the equality

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(x_{i}+x_{i}^{-1}-y_{j}-y_{j}^{-1}\right)=\sum_{\lambda \subset\left(m^{n}\right)}(-1)^{|\tilde{\lambda}|} P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(\boldsymbol{x} ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) P_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(\boldsymbol{y} ; \tilde{k}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{2}, \tilde{k}_{3}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}=\left(n-\lambda_{m}^{\prime}, n-\lambda_{m-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, n-\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof of theorem 5.1. We see that

$$
\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{1}\right) \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{2}\right) \cdots \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{m}\right)=\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{m}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}+x_{i}^{-1}+z_{j}+z_{j}^{-1}\right)
$$

Using proposition 5.2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{1}\right)\right. & \left.\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{2}\right) \cdots \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{m}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}} \\
& =\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{m}\right)^{n} \sum_{\lambda \subset\left(m^{n}\right)} P_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; \tilde{k}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{2}, \tilde{k}_{3}\right)\left\langle P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the orthogonality relation for Jacobi polynomials, we have

$$
\left\langle\left. P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)\right|_{n} ^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \lambda=(0) \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

and we thus obtain the theorem.

Remark 5.1. Using theorem 2.1 in [Mi], we derive a more general form of equation (5.1) including a Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomial.

Theorem 5.3. Let

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(m ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)=\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{k_{1}+2 k_{2}+j k_{3}-1} \Gamma\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}+j k_{3}\right)}
$$

The mth moment of $\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 1)$ is given by

$$
\left\langle\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 1)^{m}\right\rangle_{n}^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}}=\mathcal{F}\left(m ; \tilde{k}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{2}, \tilde{k}_{3}\right) \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+\tilde{k}_{1}+2 \tilde{k}_{2}+j \tilde{k}_{3}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\tilde{k}_{1}+\tilde{k}_{2}+\frac{1}{2}+j \tilde{k}_{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{\tilde{k}_{1}}{2}+\tilde{k}_{2}+\frac{j \tilde{k}_{3}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{\tilde{k}_{1}}{2}+\tilde{k}_{2}+\frac{1+j \tilde{k}_{3}}{2}\right)} .
$$

Proof. By theorem 5.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 1)^{m}\right\rangle_{n}^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}}=P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(1^{m} ; \tilde{k}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{2}, \tilde{k}_{3}\right) . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The special case $P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(1,1, \ldots, 1 ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ is known and is given as follows (see, e.g., [Di]): ${ }^{2}$ for a partition $\lambda$ of length $\leqslant m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{m} ; & \left.k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)=2^{2|\lambda|} \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant m} \frac{\left(\rho_{i}+\rho_{j}+k_{3}\right)_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}\left(\rho_{i}-\rho_{j}+k_{3}\right)_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}}{\left(\rho_{i}+\rho_{j}\right)_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}\left(\rho_{i}-\rho_{j}\right)_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}} \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2}+k_{2}+\rho_{j}\right)_{\lambda_{j}}\left(\frac{k_{1}+1}{2}+\rho_{j}\right)_{\lambda_{j}}}{\left(2 \rho_{j}\right)_{2 \lambda_{j}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\rho_{j}=(m-j) k_{3}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}+k_{2}$. Here $(a)_{n}=\Gamma(a+n) / \Gamma(a)$ is the Pochhammer symbol. Substituting $\left(n^{m}\right)$ for $\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(1^{m} ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant m} \frac{\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+(2 m-i-j+1) k_{3}\right)_{2 n}}{\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+(2 m-i-j) k_{3}\right)_{2 n}} . \\
\times \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^{2 n}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+j k_{3}\right)_{n}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}+j k_{3}\right)_{n}}{\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 j k_{3}\right)_{2 n}} . \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

A simple algebraic manipulation of the first product on the right-hand side of (5.3) yields

$$
\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant m} \frac{\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+(2 m-i-j+1) k_{3}\right)_{2 n}}{\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+(2 m-i-j) k_{3}\right)_{2 n}}=\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 j k_{3}\right)_{2 n}}{\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+j k_{3}\right)_{2 n}}
$$

and therefore we obtain

$$
P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(1^{m} ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)=\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^{2 n}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}+j k_{3}\right)_{n}}{\left(n+k_{1}+2 k_{2}+j k_{3}\right)_{n}} .
$$

Combining the above result with equation (5.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 1)^{m}\right\rangle_{n}^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}}=\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^{2 n} \Gamma\left(n+\tilde{k}_{1}+2 \tilde{k}_{2}+j \tilde{k}_{3}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\tilde{k}_{1}+\tilde{k}_{2}+\frac{1}{2}+j \tilde{k}_{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\tilde{k}_{1}+\tilde{k}_{2}+\frac{1}{2}+j \tilde{k}_{3}\right) \Gamma\left(2 n+\tilde{k}_{1}+2 \tilde{k}_{2}+j \tilde{k}_{3}\right)} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we apply the formula

$$
\Gamma(2 a)=\frac{2^{2 a-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(a) \Gamma\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

to $\Gamma\left(2 n+\tilde{k}_{1}+2 \tilde{k}_{2}+j \tilde{k}_{3}\right)$ in equation (5.4) and we then have the theorem.

## Corollary 5.4. It holds that

$$
\left\langle\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 1)^{m}\right\rangle_{n}^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}} \sim \mathcal{F}\left(m ; \tilde{k}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{2}, \tilde{k}_{3}\right) \cdot n^{m\left(\tilde{k}_{1}+\tilde{k}_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} m(m-1) \tilde{k}_{3}},
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The claim follows from the previous theorem and the asymptotics of the gamma function (cf (4.3)): $\Gamma(n+a) \sim \Gamma(n) n^{a}$ for a constant $a$.

2 The connection between ours notation and van Diejen's [Di] is given by $v_{0}=k_{1}+k_{2}, v_{1}=k_{2}, v=k_{3}$.

## 6. Random matrix ensembles associated with compact symmetric spaces

Finally, we apply the theorems obtained above to compact symmetric spaces as classified by Cartan. These symmetric spaces are labeled A I, BD I, C II and so on (see, e.g., table 1 in [CM]). Let $G / K$ be such a compact symmetric space. Here $G$ is a compact subgroup of $G L(N, \mathbb{C})$ for some positive integer $N$ and $K$ is a closed subgroup of $G$. Then the space $G / K$ is realized as the subset $S$ of $G: S \simeq G / K$ and the probability measure $\mathrm{d} M$ on $S$ is induced from the quotient space $G / K$. We consider $S$ as a probability space with the measure $\mathrm{d} M$ and call the random matrix ensemble associated with $G / K$. See [Du] for details.

The random matrix ensembles considered in sections 6.1-6.3 are called Dyson's circular $\beta$-ensembles (see [Dy, Me]). The identities in these subsections follow immediately from expressions (4.7) and (4.9) (see also example 4.1). Similarly, identities after section 6.4 follows from theorem 5.1, theorem 5.3 and corollary 5.4.

Note that the results in sections 6.1 and 6.4-6.6 are results for compact Lie groups (which are not proper symmetric spaces) previously presented in [BG].

## 6.1. $U(n)$-type A

Consider the unitary group $U(n)$ with the normalized Haar measure. This space has a simple root system of type A. The corresponding pdf for eigenvalues $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ of $M \in U(n)$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{Jack}}(z ; 1)$. This random matrix ensemble is called the circular unitary ensemble (CUE).

For complex numbers $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{L}, \eta_{L+1}, \ldots, \eta_{L+K}$, it follows from equation (4.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{L} \operatorname{det}(I+\right. & \left.\left.\eta_{i}^{-1} M^{-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{K} \operatorname{det}\left(I+\eta_{L+i} M\right)\right\rangle_{U(n)} \\
& =\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{L} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(z^{-1} ; \eta_{i}^{-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{K} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(z ; \eta_{L+i}\right)\right\rangle_{n, 2}=\prod_{i=1}^{L} \eta_{i}^{-n} \cdot s_{\left(n^{L}\right)}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{L+K}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, from equation (4.9) we obtain

$$
\left.\left.\langle | \operatorname{det}(I+\xi M)\right|^{2 m}\right\rangle_{U(n)}=\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{j!(n+j+m)!}{(j+m)!(n+j)!} \sim \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{j!}{(j+m)!} \cdot n^{m^{2}}
$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$.

## 6.2. $U(n) / O(n)$-type A I

Consider the ensemble $S(n)$ associated with the symmetric space $U(n) / O(n)$. The space $S(n)$ is the set of all symmetric matrices in $U(n)$. The corresponding pdf for eigenvalues $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{Jack}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 2)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|$. This random matrix ensemble is called the COE. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{L} \operatorname{det}(I\right. & \left.\left.+\eta_{i}^{-1} M^{-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{K} \operatorname{det}\left(I+\eta_{L+i} M\right)\right\rangle_{S(n)} \\
& =\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{L} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(z^{-1} ; \eta_{i}^{-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{K} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta_{L+i}\right)\right\rangle_{n, 1}=\prod_{i=1}^{L} \eta_{i}^{-n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{L}\right)}^{\mathrm{Jack}}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{L+K} ; 1 / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, we obtain
$\left.\left.\langle | \operatorname{det}(I+\xi M)\right|^{2 m}\right\rangle_{S(n)}=\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(2 j+1)!(n+2 m+2 j+1)!}{(2 m+2 j+1)!(n+2 j+1)!} \sim \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(2 j+1)!}{(2 m+2 j+1)!} \cdot n^{2 m^{2}}$.

## 6.3. $U(2 n) / \operatorname{Sp}(2 n)$-type A II

Consider the ensemble $S(n)$ associated with the symmetric space $U(2 n) / S p(2 n)$. The space $S(n)$ is the set of all self-dual matrices in $U(2 n)$, i.e., $M \in S(n)$ is a unitary matrix satisfying $M=J M^{\mathrm{T}} J^{\mathrm{T}}$ with $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & I_{n} \\ -I_{n} & 0\end{array}\right)$. This random matrix ensemble is called the circular symplectic ensemble (CSE). The eigenvalues of $M \in S(n)$ are of the form $z_{1}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}, z_{n}$ and so the characteristic polynomial is given as $\operatorname{det}(I+x M)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+x z_{j}\right)^{2}$. The corresponding pdf for $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\text {Jack }}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 1 / 2)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{4}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{L} \operatorname{det}(I+\right. & \left.\left.\eta_{i}^{-1} M^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{K} \operatorname{det}\left(I+\eta_{L+i} M\right)^{1 / 2}\right\rangle_{S(n)} \\
& =\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{L} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(z^{-1} ; \eta_{i}^{-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{K} \Psi^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; \eta_{L+i}\right)\right\rangle_{n, 4}=\prod_{i=1}^{L} \eta_{i}^{-n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{L}\right)}^{\mathrm{Jack}}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{L+K} ; 2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, we obtain
$\left.\left.\langle | \operatorname{det}(I+\xi M)\right|^{2 m}\right\rangle_{S(n)}=\prod_{j=0}^{2 m-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{j+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(n+m+\frac{j+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(m+\frac{j+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{j+1}{2}\right)} \sim \frac{2^{m}}{(2 m-1)!!\prod_{j=1}^{2 m-1}(2 j-1)!!} \cdot n^{2 m^{2}}$.

## 6.4. $\operatorname{SO}(2 n+1)$-type $B$

Consider the special orthogonal group $S O(2 n+1)$. An element $M$ in $S O(2 n+1)$ is an orthogonal matrix in $S L(2 n+1, \mathbb{R})$, with eigenvalues given by $z_{1}, z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{n}, z_{n}^{-1}, 1$. From Weyl's integral formula, the corresponding pdf of $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 1,0,1)$, and therefore it follows from theorem 5.1 that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{i} M\right)\right\rangle_{S O(2 n+1)}=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+x_{i}\right) \cdot\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{1,0,1} \\
=\prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{n}\left(1+x_{i}\right) \cdot P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; 1,0,1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; 1,0,1\right)$ is just the irreducible character of $S O(2 m+1)$ associated with the partition $\lambda$. Theorem 5.3, corollary 5.4 and a simple calculation lead to
$\left\langle\operatorname{det}(I+M)^{m}\right\rangle_{S O(2 n+1)}=2^{m} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(2 n+2 j+2)}{2^{j}(2 j+1)!!\Gamma(2 n+j+1)} \sim \frac{2^{2 m}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m}(2 j-1)!!} n^{m^{2} / 2+m / 2}$
in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 6.5. $S p(2 n)$-type $C$

Consider the symplectic group $S p(2 n)$, i.e., a matrix $M \in S p(2 n)$ belongs to $U(2 n)$ and satisfies $M J M^{\mathrm{T}}=J$, where $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}O_{n} & I_{n} \\ -I_{n} & O_{n}\end{array}\right)$. The eigenvalues are given by $z_{1}, z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{n}, z_{n}^{-1}$. The corresponding pdf of $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 0,1,1)$ and therefore we have

$$
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{i} M\right)\right\rangle_{S p(2 n)}=\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{0,1,1}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; 0,1,1\right)
$$

Here $P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; 0,1,1\right)$ is just the irreducible character of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 m)$ associated with the partition $\lambda$. We obtain
$\left\langle\operatorname{det}(I+M)^{m}\right\rangle_{S p(2 n)}=\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(2 n+2 j+3)}{2^{j+1} \cdot(2 j+1)!!\Gamma(2 n+j+2)} \sim \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{m}(2 j-1)!!} \cdot n^{m^{2} / 2+m / 2}$.

## 6.6. $S O(2 n)$-type $D$

Consider the special orthogonal group $S O(2 n)$. The eigenvalues of a matrix $M \in S O(2 n)$ are of the form $z_{1}, z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{n}, z_{n}^{-1}$. The corresponding pdf of $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}(z ; 0,0,1)$ and therefore we have

$$
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{i} M\right)\right\rangle_{S O(2 n)}=\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{0,0,1}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; 0,0,1\right)
$$

Here $P_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; 0,0,1\right)$ is just the irreducible character of $O(2 m)$ (not $S O(2 m)$ ) associated with the partition $\lambda$. We have

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{det}(I+M)^{m}\right\rangle_{S O(2 n)}=\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(2 n+2 j)}{2^{j-1}(2 j-1)!!\Gamma(2 n+j)} \sim \frac{2^{m}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1}(2 j-1)!!} \cdot n^{m^{2} / 2-m / 2}
$$

## 6.7. $U(2 n+r) /(U(n+r) \times U(n))$-type A III

Let $r$ be a non-negative integer. Consider the random matrix ensemble $G(n, r)$ associated with $U(2 n+r) /(U(n+r) \times U(n))$. The explicit expression of a matrix in $G(n, r)$ is omitted here, but may be found in $[\mathrm{Du}]$. The eigenvalues of a matrix $M \in G(n, r) \subset U(2 n+r)$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}, z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{n}, z_{n}^{-1}, \underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{r} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding pdf of $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; r, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ and therefore we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{i} M\right)\right\rangle_{G(n, r)}=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+x_{i}\right)^{r} \cdot\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{r, \frac{1}{2}, 1} \\
=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+x_{i}\right)^{r} x_{i}^{n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; r, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\operatorname{det}(I+M)^{m}\right\rangle_{G(n, r)}=2^{m r}\left\langle\Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}(\boldsymbol{z} ; 1)\right\rangle_{n}^{r, \frac{1}{2}, 1} \\
& \quad=\frac{\pi^{m / 2}}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} 2^{j}(r+j)!} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(n+r+j+1)^{2}}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{r+j+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{r+j}{2}+1\right)} \\
& \quad \sim \frac{\pi^{m / 2}}{2^{m(m-1) / 2} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(r+j)!} \cdot n^{m^{2} / 2+r m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

6.8. $O(2 n+r) /(O(n+r) \times O(n))$-type $B D I$

Let $r$ be a non-negative integer. Consider the random matrix ensemble $G(n, r)$ associated with the compact symmetric space $O(2 n+r) /(O(n+r) \times O(n))$. The eigenvalues of a matrix $M \in G(n, r) \subset O(2 n+r)$ are of the form (6.1). The corresponding pdf of $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; \frac{r}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and therefore we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{i} M\right)\right\rangle_{G(n, r)}=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+x_{i}\right)^{r} \cdot\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{\frac{r}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}} \\
=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+x_{i}\right)^{r} x_{i}^{n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; r, 1,2\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\operatorname{det}(I+M)^{m}\right\rangle_{G(n, r)}=2^{m r} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(2 n+4 j+2 r+3)}{2^{2 j+r+1}(4 j+2 r+1)!!\Gamma(2 n+2 j+r+2)} \\
\sim \frac{2^{m r}}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(4 j+2 r+1)!!} \cdot n^{m^{2}+r m} .
\end{gathered}
$$

6.9. $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n) / U(n)$-type C I

Consider the random matrix ensemble $S(n)$ associated with the compact symmetric space $S p(2 n) /(S p(2 n) \cap S O(2 n)) \simeq S p(2 n) / U(n)$. The eigenvalues of a matrix $M \in S(n) \subset$ $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n)$ are of the form $z_{1}, z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{n}, z_{n}^{-1}$. The corresponding pdf of $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and therefore we have
$\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{i} M\right)\right\rangle_{S(n)}=\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; 0,2,2\right)$.
We obtain
$\left\langle\operatorname{det}(I+M)^{m}\right\rangle_{S(n)}=\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(n+2 j+3) \Gamma(2 n+4 j+5)}{2^{2 j+2}(4 j+3)!!\Gamma(2 n+2 j+4)} \sim \frac{1}{2^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{m}(4 j-1)!!} \cdot n^{m^{2}+m}$.

### 6.10. $S p(4 n+2 r) /(S p(2 n+2 r) \times S p(2 n))$-type C II

Let $r$ be a non-negative integer. Consider the random matrix ensemble $G(n, r)$ associated with the compact symmetric space $S p(4 n+2 r) /(S p(2 n+2 r) \times S p(2 n))$. The eigenvalues of a matrix $M \in G(n, r) \subset S p(4 n+2 r)$ are of the form

$$
z_{1}, z_{1}, z_{1}^{-1}, z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{n}, z_{n}, z_{n}^{-1}, z_{n}^{-1}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{2 r} .
$$

The corresponding pdf of $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; 2 r, \frac{3}{2}, 2\right)$ and therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{i} M\right)^{1 / 2}\right\rangle_{G(n, r)} & =\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+x_{i}\right)^{r}\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{2 r, \frac{3}{2}, 2} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+x_{i}\right)^{r} x_{i}^{n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; r, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\operatorname{det}(I+M)^{m}\right\rangle_{G(n, r)} & =\frac{2^{4 m r+m^{2}+m}}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(4 j+4 r+1)!!} \cdot \frac{\prod_{p=1}^{4 m} \Gamma\left(n+r+\frac{p+1}{4}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2 m} \Gamma\left(n+\frac{r}{2}+\frac{j}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{r+1}{2}+\frac{j}{4}\right)} \\
& \sim \frac{2^{4 m r+m^{2}+m}}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(4 j+4 r+1)!!} n^{m^{2}+2 m r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.11. $\operatorname{SO}(4 n+2) / U(2 n+1)$-type D III-odd

Consider the random matrix ensemble $S(n)$ associated with the compact symmetric space $S O(4 n+2) /(S O(4 n+2) \cap S p(4 n+2)) \simeq S O(4 n+2) / U(2 n+1)$. The eigenvalues of a matrix $M \in S(n) \subset S O(4 n+2)$ are of the form $z_{1}, z_{1}, z_{1}^{-1}, z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{n}, z_{n}, z_{n}^{-1}, z_{n}^{-1}, 1,1$. The corresponding pdf of $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; 2, \frac{1}{2}, 2\right)$ and therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{i} M\right)^{1 / 2}\right\rangle_{S(n)} & =\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+x_{i}\right)\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{2, \frac{1}{2}, 2} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+x_{i}\right) x_{i}^{n} \cdot P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; 1,-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain
$\left\langle\operatorname{det}(I+M)^{m}\right\rangle_{S(n)}=\frac{2^{m^{2}+5 m}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m}(4 j-1)!!} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{2 m} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{j}{2}+\frac{3}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{j}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{j}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \sim \frac{2^{m^{2}+5 m}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m}(4 j-1)!!} \cdot n^{m^{2}+m}$.

### 6.12. $S O(4 n) / U(2 n)$-type $D$ III-even

Consider the random matrix ensembles $S(n)$ associated with the compact symmetric space $S O(4 n) /(S O(4 n) \cap S p(4 n)) \simeq S O(4 n) / U(2 n)$. The eigenvalues of the matrix $M \in S(n) \subset$ $S O(4 n)$ are of the form

$$
z_{1}, z_{1}, z_{1}^{-1}, z_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{n}, z_{n}, z_{n}^{-1}, z_{n}^{-1}
$$

The corresponding pdf of $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ is proportional to $\Delta^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(z ; 0, \frac{1}{2}, 2\right)$ and therefore we have

$$
\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{i} M\right)^{1 / 2}\right\rangle_{S(n)}=\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi^{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\boldsymbol{z} ; x_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{n}^{0, \frac{1}{2}, 2}=P_{\left(n^{m}\right)}^{\mathrm{HO}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; 0,-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\operatorname{det}(I+M)^{m}\right\rangle_{S(n)}=\frac{2^{m^{2}+m}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1}(4 j-1)!!} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{2 m-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{j}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{j-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{j-1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{j+1}{4}\right)} \\
\sim \frac{2^{m^{2}+m}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1}(4 j-1)!!} \cdot n^{m^{2}-m} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 7. Final comments

We have calculated the average of products of the characteristic moments $\left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{j} M\right)\right\rangle$. We would also like to calculate the average of the quotient

$$
\left\langle\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(I+x_{j} M\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{l} \operatorname{det}\left(I+y_{i} M\right)}\right\rangle_{n}^{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}}
$$

Expressions for these quotients have been obtained for the classical groups (i.e., $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)=$ $(1,0,1),(0,1,1),(0,0,1)$ in our notation) in [BG], but the derivation of expressions for other cases remains an open problem.
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